

Characterization of order structures avoiding three-term arithmetic progressions

Shingo SAITO

Faculty of Arts and Science, Kyushu University

วันศุกร์ที่ 20 กุมภาพันธ์ พ.ศ. 2569

The 2026 Thammasat-Kyushu Symposium
on Mathematics and Statistics

มหาวิทยาลัยธรรมศาสตร์

Introduction

Brief self-introduction

As a PhD student, I studied **classical real analysis**. More specifically, I studied how badly “most” continuous functions behave.

As a postdoc, I collaborated with a non-life insurance company.

Nowadays I mainly study **multiple zeta values** (a generalization of the Riemann zeta values):

$$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r) := \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_r} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}}.$$

Introduction

Brief self-introduction

As a PhD student, I studied **classical real analysis**. More specifically, I studied how badly “most” continuous functions behave.

As a postdoc, I collaborated with a non-life insurance company.

Nowadays I mainly study **multiple zeta values** (a generalization of the Riemann zeta values):

$$\zeta(k_1, \dots, k_r) := \sum_{1 \leq n_1 < \dots < n_r} \frac{1}{n_1^{k_1} \dots n_r^{k_r}}.$$

Today's talk

This talk is based on the following paper:

Minoru Hirose and S. S.,

Characterization of order structures avoiding three-term arithmetic progressions,

Order **42** (2025), 231–239,

<https://doi.org/10.1007/s11083-024-09677-7> (open access).

Puzzle

Take a permutation of $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (there are $5! = 120$ permutations).

Puzzle

Take a permutation of $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (there are $5! = 120$ permutations). Does it contain a three-term arithmetic progression as a subsequence?

Puzzle

Take a permutation of $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (there are $5! = 120$ permutations). Does it contain a three-term arithmetic progression as a subsequence? If the permutation is 4, 1, 2, 3, 0, then the answer is **yes** because it contains 1, 2, 3.

Puzzle

Take a permutation of $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (there are $5! = 120$ permutations).

Does it contain a three-term arithmetic progression as a subsequence?

If the permutation is 4, 1, 2, 3, 0, then the answer is **yes** because it contains 1, 2, 3.

If the permutation is 3, 4, 1, 2, 0, then the answer is **yes** because it contains 4, 2, 0 as a **subsequence**.

Puzzle

Take a permutation of $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (there are $5! = 120$ permutations).

Does it contain a three-term arithmetic progression as a subsequence?

If the permutation is 4, 1, 2, 3, 0, then the answer is **yes** because it contains 1, 2, 3.

If the permutation is 3, 4, 1, 2, 0, then the answer is **yes** because it contains 4, 2, 0 as a **subsequence**.

Does there exist a permutation for which the answer is **no**?

Puzzle

Take a permutation of $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (there are $5! = 120$ permutations).

Does it contain a three-term arithmetic progression as a subsequence?

If the permutation is 4, 1, 2, 3, 0, then the answer is **yes** because it contains 1, 2, 3.

If the permutation is 3, 4, 1, 2, 0, then the answer is **yes** because it contains 4, 2, 0 as a **subsequence**.

Does there exist a permutation for which the answer is **no**?

If the permutation is 0, 4, 2, 1, 3, then the answer is **no** because it does not contain 0, 1, 2; 1, 2, 3; 2, 3, 4; 0, 2, 4; or their reversals as a subsequence.

Puzzle

Take a permutation of $\{0, 1, 2, 3, 4\}$ (there are $5! = 120$ permutations).

Does it contain a three-term arithmetic progression as a subsequence?

If the permutation is 4, 1, 2, 3, 0, then the answer is **yes** because it contains 1, 2, 3.

If the permutation is 3, 4, 1, 2, 0, then the answer is **yes** because it contains 4, 2, 0 as a **subsequence**.

Does there exist a permutation for which the answer is **no**?

If the permutation is 0, 4, 2, 1, 3, then the answer is **no** because it does not contain 0, 1, 2; 1, 2, 3; 2, 3, 4; 0, 2, 4; or their reversals as a subsequence.

Problem

Given a nonnegative integer n , does there exist a permutation of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ that does not contain a three-term AP as a subsequence?
(AP = arithmetic progression)

Answer: Yes!

Proposition

For every nonnegative integer n , there exists a permutation of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ that does not contain a three-term AP as a subsequence.

Answer: Yes!

Proposition

For every nonnegative integer n , there exists a permutation of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ that does not contain a three-term AP as a subsequence.

Construction

even	odd
------	-----

Answer: Yes!

Proposition

For every nonnegative integer n , there exists a permutation of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ that does not contain a three-term AP as a subsequence.

Construction

even		odd		$(\text{mod } 4)$
$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 2$	$\equiv 1$	$\equiv 3$	

Answer: Yes!

Proposition

For every nonnegative integer n , there exists a permutation of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ that does not contain a three-term AP as a subsequence.

Construction

even				odd				
$\equiv 0$		$\equiv 2$		$\equiv 1$		$\equiv 3$		(mod 4)
$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 4$	$\equiv 2$	$\equiv 6$	$\equiv 1$	$\equiv 5$	$\equiv 3$	$\equiv 7$	(mod 8)
\vdots								

Answer: Yes!

Proposition

For every nonnegative integer n , there exists a permutation of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ that does not contain a three-term AP as a subsequence.

Construction

even				odd				
$\equiv 0$		$\equiv 2$		$\equiv 1$		$\equiv 3$		(mod 4)
$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 4$	$\equiv 2$	$\equiv 6$	$\equiv 1$	$\equiv 5$	$\equiv 3$	$\equiv 7$	(mod 8)
\vdots								

Problem

Does there exist a permutation of $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ that does not contain a three-term AP as a subsequence?

Answer: Yes!

Proposition

For every nonnegative integer n , there exists a permutation of $\{0, \dots, n\}$ that does not contain a three-term AP as a subsequence.

Construction

even				odd				
$\equiv 0$		$\equiv 2$		$\equiv 1$		$\equiv 3$		(mod 4)
$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 4$	$\equiv 2$	$\equiv 6$	$\equiv 1$	$\equiv 5$	$\equiv 3$	$\equiv 7$	(mod 8)
\vdots								

Problem

Does there exist a permutation of $\mathbb{N} = \{0, 1, \dots\}$ that does not contain a three-term AP as a subsequence?

Theorem (Davis, Entringer, Graham, and Simmons, 1977)

No such permutation exists.

Observation

Recall the construction for finite n :

even				odd				
$\equiv 0$		$\equiv 2$		$\equiv 1$		$\equiv 3$		(mod 4)
$\equiv 0$	$\equiv 4$	$\equiv 2$	$\equiv 6$	$\equiv 1$	$\equiv 5$	$\equiv 3$	$\equiv 7$	(mod 8)
\vdots								

This gives a total order \leq' on \mathbb{N} .

The total order \leq' has the property that there is no AP a, b, c with $a <' b <' c$.

Main theorem

We have constructed a total order \leq' on \mathbb{N} such that

- there is no AP a, b, c with $a <' b <' c$;
- $(\mathbb{N}, \leq') \cong (\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, \leq)$.

Main theorem

We have constructed a total order \leq' on \mathbb{N} such that

- there is no AP a, b, c with $a <' b <' c$;
- $(\mathbb{N}, \leq') \cong (\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, \leq)$.

In other words, there exists a bijection $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that there is no AP a, b, c with $f(a) < f(b) < f(c)$.

Main theorem

We have constructed a total order \leq' on \mathbb{N} such that

- there is no AP a, b, c with $a <' b <' c$;
- $(\mathbb{N}, \leq') \cong (\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, \leq)$.

In other words, there exists a bijection $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that there is no AP a, b, c with $f(a) < f(b) < f(c)$.

On the other hand, the theorem of Davis, Entringer, Graham, and Simmons implies that there does not exist a bijection $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that there is no AP a, b, c with $f(a) < f(b) < f(c)$.

Main theorem

We have constructed a total order \leq' on \mathbb{N} such that

- there is no AP a, b, c with $a <' b <' c$;
- $(\mathbb{N}, \leq') \cong (\mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}, \leq)$.

In other words, there exists a bijection $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_{\geq 0}$ such that there is no AP a, b, c with $f(a) < f(b) < f(c)$.

On the other hand, the theorem of Davis, Entringer, Graham, and Simmons implies that there does not exist a bijection $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ such that there is no AP a, b, c with $f(a) < f(b) < f(c)$.

Problem

Given a totally ordered set (X, \preceq) , determine whether there exists a bijection $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ such that there is no AP a, b, c with $f(a) \prec f(b) \prec f(c)$.

Main theorem

Theorem (Hirose and S.)

Let (X, \preceq) be a countably infinite totally ordered set. Then the following are equivalent:

- (1) There exists a bijection $f: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow X$ such that there is no AP a, b, c with $f(a) \prec f(b) \prec f(c)$.
- (2) The topological space X endowed with the order topology has no isolated points, namely there is no $p \in X$ such that either
 - $\{x \in X \mid x \prec x_0\} = \{p\}$ for some $x_0 \in X$,
 - $\{x \in X \mid x \succ x_0\} = \{p\}$ for some $x_0 \in X$, or
 - $\{x \in X \mid x_0 \prec x \prec x_1\} = \{p\}$ for some $x_0, x_1 \in X$.

Remark

With \mathbb{N} replaced by \mathbb{Z} , the theorem remains valid with (2) unchanged.
With \mathbb{N} replaced by \mathbb{Q} , the theorem remains valid with (2) strengthened by further requiring that X have no maximum or no minimum.